

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,403	06/23/2005	Roger Griffiths	21.1066	9606
23718 SCHI LIMBER	7590 07/09/200' GER OILFIELD SERV	· EXAMINER		
200 GILLINGHAM LANE			SAINT SURIN, JACQUES M	
MD 200-9 SUGAR LANI	TX 77478		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOOM EMIL	D, 12 // // 0	,	2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/540,403	GRIFFITHS ET AL.		
		·Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jacques M. Saint-Surin	2856		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ye reiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute oly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed ,, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			,		
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2007.				
2a)⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims	•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers	•			
10)∐ T A F	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Art Unit: 2856

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment of 04/24/07.

### Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 04/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds (US Patent 4,008,608) or Warner (EP 0657622 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Reynolds discloses a method for determining a velocity of ultrasound propagation in a drilling fluid in a downhole environment (col. 1, lines 60-67), comprising:

disposing a first ultrasound transducer (32) adjacent to a second ultrasound transducer (34) such that the front face of the first transducer (32) is offset from the front face of the second ultrasound transducer (34) by a predetermined radial offset distance (col. 2, lines 39-41 and col. 3, lines 41-49).

emitting an ultrasound pulse into the drilling fluid in a borehole (28) using the first ultrasound transducer (32);

Art Unit: 2856

detecting (34) the ultrasound pulse after the ultrasound pulse has travelled through the drilling fluid a distance;

determining a travel time (t) for the ultrasound pulse to travel the distance (d)

(col. 3, lines 40-44); and

determining the velocity of ultrasound propagation from the distance and the travel time (col. 2, lines 67-68, col. 3, lines1-3 and 44-48).

Regarding claim 9, it is similar in scope with claim 1 and therefore, it is rejected for the reasons set forth for that claim. Furthermore, Reynolds discloses the signals

received by first receiving transducer 34 and second receiving transducer 38 are transmitted up borehole 28 via conductor cable 30 to recording equipment 48 at the surface. By noting the difference in arrival times at the two receiving transducers and the distance separating the two receiving transducers, the velocity of the formation can be determined on a continuous basis from the top to the bottom of the well (col. 2, lines 63-68 and col. 3, lines 1-3.

Regarding claim 2, Reynolds discloses wherein the detecting the ultrasound pulse is performed with the first ultrasound transducer (32).

Regarding claim 3, Reynolds discloses wherein the detecting the ultrasound pulse is performed with the second ultrasound transducer (34).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claims 4-5, Reynolds discloses wherein the detecting the ultrasound pulse is performed with both the first and second ultrasound transducer (32, 34). Reynolds further discloses arrival times at the two receiving transducers and the distance separating the two receiving transducers, the velocity of the formation can be determined on a continuous basis from the top to the bottom of the well (col. 2, lines 67-68 and col. 3, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 6, Reynolds discloses wherein the detecting the ultrasound pulse is performed by the first ultrasound transducer (37), and wherein the method further comprises: emitting a second ultrasound pulse into the drilling fluid in the borehole using the second ultrasound transducer (34); and detecting the second ultrasound pulse (col. 2, lines 52-58) after the second ultrasound pulse has traveled through the drilling fluid a using the second ultrasound transducer (34).

Regarding claim 7, Reynolds discloses wherein the ultrasound pulse and the second ultrasound pulse are emitted simultaneously (this function is inherently performed by Reynolds since it discloses two different transducers for transmitting acoustic waves or pulses).

Regarding claim 8, Reynolds discloses wherein the drilling fluid is located in an annulus between a tool (26) and a borehole wall (col. 2, lines 58-63).

Regarding claim 10, Reynolds discloses the apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the first ultrasound transducer (34) and the second ultrasound transducer (76) are disposed on an outside surface of the tool (20).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,403 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not 5. persuasive. In response to Applicant's arguments "Reynolds is concerned with a different purpose, i.e. predicting the geothermal gradient (temperatures) of a formation (see: col. 1, lines 7 and 22 for example) and "However, Reynolds is concerned with measuring the velocity in the formation (see: col. 3, line 2) which is wholly different from the present application in that the preamble of both claims 1 and 9 clearly recites "for determining a velocity of ultrasound propagation in a drilling fluid", the Examiner agrees with Applicant's arguments. However, Reynolds teaches in col. 1, lines 9-11, More particularly, the invention relates to such a method useful in drilling wells through such subterranean formations. In addition, Reynolds further discloses since these waves travel at different speeds in the rock and fluid media, receiving transducers 34 and 38 record the wave which has followed the fastest path. This path is shown in FIG. 1 as path 42, that is the wave travels from transmitting transducer 32 through the borehole fluid, along the rock-borehole interface, and then portions of borehole wave travel back through the borehole fluid to each of the two receiving transducers 34 and 38 as shown by ray paths 44 and 46. Thus, based on the above paragraphs, Reynolds is not concerned only to measuring the velocity in the formation as argued by the applicant.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2856

Page 6

- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques M. Saint-Surin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2206. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays between 10:30 A.M and 800 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jacques M. Saint-Surin

July 05, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800